

**LETTER OF JANUARY 3, 1907  
TO COMMISSIONER OF INDIAN AFFAIRS  
FROM SPECIAL AGENT C.E. KELSEY**

REFER IN REPLY TO THE FOLLOWING:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,  
OFFICE OF INDIAN AFFAIRS,  
WASHINGTON.

San Jose, Calif., Jan 3, 1907.

Hon. Commissioner of Indian Affairs,  
Washington, D. C.

Sir:-

I have the honor to make the report asked for in your letter of Nov. 10, 1906, Land 96989-1906 in respect to certain lands withdrawn from entry on account of the Mission Indians which have not as yet been added to the reservations, and will specify those that, in my opinion should be formally added to the various reservations by Congress. I have been unable to report earlier owing to the time required in getting the data for a proper report. There are thirty four Indian reservations in Southern California. As to some of these I already had fairly complete data and as to others no changes were proposed. Still it took more than 2,000 miles of travel to visit these absolutely necessary for me to see. To get proper data from the Land Office and personally inspect the proposed additions and to secure other information necessary, required considerable time even if the Campo situation and other duties had not made further demands upon my time.

29 Palms.

The land patented as the 29 Palms reservation is the N. W. 1/4 Sec. 4, T. 1 S., R. 9 E., S. B. M.. The land actually occupied is the

(2. Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33, T. 1 S. R. 9 E., S. B. M. The latter description was reserved for the use of the Mission Indians by executive order dated Dec. 23, 1901. As this quarter section contains about all the land of value and especially the water, I recommend that it be added to the reservation. See my letter upon this subject dated Dec. 10, 1906.

Inyaha.

My letter of Nov. 5, 1906 contains as complete a statement of the situation as ~~I know~~ I have been able to make. The original Inyaha consisted of the N. E. 1/4 of Sec. 33, T. 13 S. R. 3 E., S. B. M. Later Aug. 6, 1892, the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 of Sec 26, same township was added, but whether by executive order or by act of Congress the Land Office records at Los Angeles do not show. In a letter dated Feb. 19, 1906, to the Indian Office I recommended that there be added the N. 1/2 of the N. W. 1/4 and the S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. Sec. 35 the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 26 and the W. 1/2 Sec. 26 be also added to the reservation. All but the last have, I understand, been withdrawn from entry. There is some arable land, some pasture and considerable wood upon the land mentioned and I would recommend the addition of the said land to the Inyaha reservation.

Santa Rosa.

The Indians of the Santa Rosa band have never had a reservation set apart for them. The land has recently been surveyed, I understand, although not as yet published. As nearly as I can get at it they occupy land in section 33, T. 7. S. R. 5 E., S. B. M. The entire township is included

(... Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

the setting aside of land for these Indians. I would recommend that if possible, the lands which these people have occupied from time immemorial be in some manner secured to them.

Capitan Grande.

The lands patented to the Capitan Grande band of Indians are Sec. 10, 11, 14, 15, 22, the W. 1/2 27, Secs. 38, 39, the S. 1/2 34 and Secs 55, T. 14 S. R. 2 E., the N. 1/2 of Secs. 1 and 2 and Secs. 3 and 4, T. 15 S. R. 2 E., Secs. 51 and 52, T. 14 S. R. 3 E. and Secs 5 and 6 T. 15 S. R. 3 E. Since that time they have been reserved from entry pending investigation etc., Secs. 23, 25 and 26. T. 14 S. R. 2 E., Secs 5, 6 and 10 T. 15 S.

R. 2 E. I see no advantage to the Indians in adding sections 5 and 6 T. 15 R. 2 E. Sec 55 of same township is nearly worthless but the South Fork of the San Diego river runs through it and it may be the scene of a water struggle if not added. I would therefore recommend that the N. 1/2 of Sec 10 be added and also the S. 1/2 Sec. 2 for the same reason, to prevent future trouble over the water in the stream. The S. E. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 of Sec. 21, T. 14 S. R. 2 E. was filed upon in 1887 by one Frederick S. Anderton, pre-emption 2545, dated Nov. 23, that year. The Land Office records at Los Angeles do not show that final proof was ever made or that a final patent ever issued. There are no filings upon the rest of the section. If the filing of Anderton is outlawed as it appears to be I recommend that the entire section be added to the reservation.

The south east corner of the section is on the east side of the San Diego River, not far from the Capitan Grande school house. There are several acres of bottom land and the apparent possession of this tract by outsiders

has been a matter of disquietude to the Indians and it should be removed. I also recommend the addition of sections 23, 25 and 26 to the reservation as recommended by several special agents at various times past. There is some pasturage and some wood which the Indians may as well have as any one else. I would also recommend the addition of the E. 1/2 of Sec. 27 and the N. 1/2 of Sec. 34. They are not good for much. About the only thing they can be used for would be for some white man to start a saloon on. Rather than have the land in the possession of outside parties, who would ~~be~~ expect to be bought out by the government at a high figure I think it better to put the land into the reservation. The situation in townships 14 and 15 south range three East is somewhat different. There is a fine stream in each of the creeks known as King Creek and Conejos Creek, in the rights to which the Indians have no protection. There is also a considerable tract of arable land on sections 4, 7 and 8 which the Indians will soon need. They are cultivating some of it now. This land and all which will protect the water rights of the Indians should be added to the reservation. Some land has already passed into private ownership and I consider the need of taking action to be great. Sections 4, 7, 8 and the N.W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 and the S. W. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 9., T. 15 S. R 3 E. and the W. 1/2 Sec 28, the E. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 28, and the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 28, T. 14 S. R. 3 E. should be added to the reservation. *Ind. Sec 3/4 Sec 33, 8 1/4, 8 6 1/4, N 8 1/4, 8 5 1/4, 8 6 1/4, N E 1/4 + N 1/2 N E 1/4 Sec 33, T. 14 S. R 3 E.*  
Agua Caliente or Palm Springs.  
The sections originally reserved by executive order were Secs. 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, and 34, T. 4. S. R. 4 E.

(5. Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

and Sec. 2 in T. 5. S. R. 4 E. The Sections Patented are Secs. 12, 14,  
22, 24, 26, and 34, T. 4 S. R. 4 E. Some of these sections were restored  
to entry and some entries were made on Sec. 10. These have recently been  
cancelled and I recommend the addition of this section to the reservation.  
Should the government ever attempt to do anything with the water at the  
head of Chino Canyon on Sec. 6 Sec 10 will be about the only place it can  
be used. The Indians will probably not need it for some time but they  
want the land and the present is the most favorable time to give it to  
them. Section 6 is the subject of a letter addressed by me to the Hon.  
Commissioner of Indian Affairs, dated Dec. 10, 1906. I would renew the  
recommendation there made that Sec. 6 be added to the reservation. It is  
the only pasture land the Indians have and they want this section. Most  
of the land and water are upon Sec. 7, which is owned by the Southern  
Pacific R. R. Co. I suggested that perhaps the Railroad company might be  
willing to exchange this Sec. 6 for section 22, T. 5 S. R. 4 E., upon which  
the railroad buildings and other property at Palm Springs Station are  
located, and to which the Company has no title. If this should be done  
section 7 should also be added to the reservation. I have seen nothing  
further in T. 4. S. R. 4 E. that I can recommend for addition, except that  
should the purchase of Sec. 35 be made as has been recommended by Chief  
Irrigation Engineer Code and myself, this section should also be added.  
This deal also includes Sec. 3 in T. 5. S. R. 4. E. Sec. 2 in this township  
was not included in the patent. I think it should be added as it has quite  
an area of good land and the Indians want the land. They have lived upon  
it within a few years, though I found none there on my recent visit. Sec.

10 also should be added as it controls the water from Murray Canyon. This is not a large stream but any water in that country is a subject for bitter controversies and the best way to prevent any more such as the one which has lasted for so long with Barney, is to appropriate the whole subject of probable controversy. Sec. 11 of this township was at one time patented to the So. Pacific R. R. Co., but it has been reconveyed to the United States. There is some arable land and it is the most natural place to use the water from Murray Canyon, or part of it and I think it should be added to the reservation.

Martinez.

The desert reservations consist of certain even numbered sections, which I believe have not as yet been patented. There is no opportunity to add any land to the reservations as the whole country has proved to be in an artesian belt of the first class. Land without water is now \$50.00 per acre. It would be very expensive and does not seem to be necessary to increase any of these reservations. Some years ago, I understand, the State relinquished sections 16 and 36, T.7 S. R. 8 E to the United States. They have been and now are occupied by the Indians. Upon Sec. 16 are three artesian wells and the Government school house. I would suggest that these Sections 16 and 36 be included in the patent when issued, and that if any Congressional action be necessary, the same be recommended at this time.

\* Chimchuevi Valley.

The rights of the Indians of the Chimchuevi valley on the Colorado river below the Needles is the subject of my letter to the Indian Office.

(7.Ind.Commr.Res.Add.)

dated Dec. 27, 1906 These Indians regard their present location as their place of origin. I believe there is no question but they have occupied this land since primeval times. I do not know why the land has not been reserved before this, but the place is a remote one in the desert and they were probably overlooked, as a good many other Indians in California have been. I would therefore recommend that their valley be added to the Colorado River reservation or that whatever action is appropriate be taken. The land to be so set aside should be, I think, T. 4 N. R. 25 E., T. 4 N. R. 26 E., T. 5 N. R. 25 E. 6 N. 25 E. the E. 1/2 of T. 5 N. R. 24 E. Sections 25, 26, 35 and 36 of T. 6 N. R. 24 E and possibly a right of way for an irrigating ditch through T. 7 N. R. 24 E. The townships above mentioned are all fractional but 5 N. 24 E and some of them are very small. All have not been surveyed.

#### Pachanga.

There is considerable government land adjoining the Pachanga reservation but the most of it is absolutely worthless, as far as any one can see. The spring from which the Indians get their water and the water of which we expect to pipe down onto the reservation is situated upon government land. There are several springs all of which seem to be on Sec. 25, T. 8 S. R. 2 W. I think this section should be added to the reservation. There would probably be no objection on the part of anyone if Secs. 30 and 31, T. 8 S. R. 2 W. should be added as has, I understand, been proposed. But I do not see any especial benefit.

#### Saboba or San Jacinto.

Fractional section 5, (consisting of Lots 1, 2 & 3, ~~4~~, T. 5 S. R. 1 E. and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 and the N. E. 1/4 of N. E. 1/4 of Sec. 29 and all of Sec. 31, T. 4 S. R. 1 E., have by some misunderstanding been

patented to the Southern Pacific R. R. Co., as part of its land grant. The land is not of great value, but it has been occupied by the Indians since before the Southern Pacific Company was organized and probably for hundreds of years before that. Part of the land has Indian houses on it and has been for years under cultivation by the Indians. The Indians will naturally think that if they can be deprived of these descriptions they can be deprived of all the land they have. I do not think any one can ever explain to the Indians how or why they should be deprived of this land. If there is any possible way I trust these sections may be added to the reservation.

Campo.

The land patented to the Campo Indians consists of the E. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 4, the W. 1/2 of the N. W. 1/4, the S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4, the S. W. 1/4 of the N. E. 1/4, and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 3, T. 18 S. R. 6 E. S. B. M. The Indian graveyard, most of the Indian houses and a large part of their arable land, all that can be irrigated from the spring are upon the N. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 Sec. 3. Why it was not included in the original grant I do not know. It should certainly be added to the reservation now. I think it would be well also to add the N. E. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 and the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 of Sec. 4, ~~located in~~ T. 18 S. R. 6 E. and the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 of Sec. 33 and the S. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 34, T. 17 S. R. 5 E. These descriptions of land have no value to speak of, except for grazing a few cattle. I think it advisable to interpose a little barren

land between the Indians and their neighbors. Most of the troubles of the Campo Indians have arisen from their white neighbors crowding over the lines. It would have been better, in my opinion, if the Commission had placed the reservation boundaries wide enough to protect the Indians, in the first instance. J. A. Warren, immediately adjoining the reservation in section 3, has been occupying for years a piece of the reservation equal to 40% of its arable land. Such things could not occur if a zone of safety be allowed around the land occupied by the Indians, large enough to allow for mistaken boundaries, and defective surveys. For the protection of the Indians I therefore recommend that these descriptions be added to the Campo reservation.

## Laguna.

The land patented to the Laguna Indians is the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 33 T. 14 S. R. 5 E. S. B. M. Since then the S. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 28 and the N. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 33 have been reserved by executive order. There are Indians on both tracts. The land is of very good quality, better than on any of the other reservations. I recommend that the descriptions mentioned above and also the S. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 28 be formally added to the reservation. The N. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/2 of Sec. 28 has been filed on as a homestead and also the S. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33 and also certain land in Sec. 4, T. 15 S. R. 5 E. ~~xxx~~. It would seem that if this land is valuable enough for white men to homestead it is valuable enough for the Indians. I would therefore recommend that the homestead entries be canceled and the land added to the Laguna reservation if authority for such procedure exists. ~~xxx~~

Cuyapipe.

The land patented to the Cuyapipe Indians is the E. 1/4 of Sec. 19, the W. 1/2 of Sec. 20, the W. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 20, the N. W. 1/4, the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4, the N. E. 1/4 of the N. E. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4, Sec. 29, T. 15 S. R. 6 E. S. B. M. Since then there has been reserved by executive order the N. W. 1/4 ~~of the~~ ~~W. 1/2~~ and the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 19 and the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 29 and at a later date the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 33. These so reserved by executive order are all occupied by the Indians and should be added to the reservation. I think that there should also be added a protective belt. The reservation is very barren on the side of a very rocky steep mountain. On sections 17 and 18 part way up the mountain is a mesa which should raise grain. The rest of the newly proposed addition is of value only as grazing land, and I do not consider it of high quality for that. But the need for protection from the variations of lines caused by fraudulent and careless surveys is the same here as at Campo. I therefore recommend the land described above for addition to the reservation and also the following:- the S. 1/2 of Secs. 17 and 18; the N. E. 1/4 and E. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4, Sec. 20; the S. W. 1/4 and the W. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 19 all of Secs. 21, 28 and 30, the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 29, the N. 1/2 Sec. 32, the E. 1/2 and the E. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 and the S. W. <sup>1/4 of the</sup> 1/4 of Sec. 33.

La Posta.

The land patented to the La Posta Indians is the S. 1/2 of the S. E.

1/4 and the S. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 31, T. 16 S. R. 6 E., S. B. M., and the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 and the N. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 Sec. 6, T. 17 S. R. 6 E.. Subsequently there was reserved by executive order the S. 1/2 of the N. 1/2 Sec. 5 and the S. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 and the S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 Sec. 6, T. 17 S. R. 6. E. and the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 24 and the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 25, T. 16 N. R. 5 E.. Upon the ~~1/2~~ claimed tract there were three Indian families when the land was suspended from entry twelve years ago. There are none now and have been none for years. I see no reason for retaining the land. It does not fit in with any scheme for improving the condition of the Indians and I recommend that the said land, described as the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 24 and the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 25 be restored to the public domain. The particular tract patented to the Indians as the La Posta reservation is a very barren pile of granite rocks. The Indians were at that time and have been ever since located upon the S. 1/2 of the N. 1/2 of Sections 5 and 6, T. 17 S. R. 6 E.. This land should certainly be added to the reservation. The best land in the neighborhood lies in the south half of section 30 and the N. 1/2 of the N. W. 1/4, the S. W. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 31, T. 16 S. R. 6 E., which land has now been patented to private owners. This seems to me to again illustrate the advisability of having a buffer strip of land around the reservation to take up the vagaries and worse of the various surveys in this country and to protect the Indians from boundary

(12. Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

troubles with whosoever may take up the land adjoining them. I therefore recommend the addition to the La Posta reservation of the following land; the N. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4; the s. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4; the S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 ; the E. 1/2 of S. E. 1/4 and the N. E. 1/4 ~~and~~ of Sec 31 and all of sections 32 and 38, T. 16 S. R. 6 E and the W. 1/2 of the N. W. 1/4 and the S. 1/2 of Sec. 6; the N. 1/4 and the S. 1/2 of Sec. 5 and all of section 4, T. 17 S. R. 6 E. If this land is so added the reservation as enlarged will join on to the enlarged Manzanita and the new reservation which may be created to the south.

Manzanita.

The land patented to the Manzanita Indians is the whole of section 26, T. 16 S. R. 6 E, S. B. M. The Indians had occupied this section but had been dispossessed by one Peter Mc Cain under a homestead patent for the S. W. 1/4 of section 23 same township. When the land was given the Indians they were mostly on the N. E. 1/4 of Sec. 35 and a few were on the E. 1/4 of Sec 25. , which tracts have been suspended from entry for some years by executive order. Mc Cain seems to be in possession through an error of the surveyor who ran the lines when he took up the land and not from any deliberate effort to occupy reservation land. He is using about 210 acres of land of fair quality, the possession of which by the Indians would be a great help in making them self sustaining. The field notes for T. 16 S. R. 6 E. were not on file at the county seat nor could they be obtained at Washington. Mc Cain's surveyor, not being able to get the field notes of this township, took those of the township immediately east, naturally supposing that the section lines of the two townships would

coincide. Actually they are half a mile apart and the surveyor therefore located Mc Cain half a mile too far south and the land to which Mc Cain holds a patent is a worthless rocky hill. Within the last month the missing field notes have been found in the land office in Los Angeles and Deputy U. S. Surveyor Counts informs me that there is practically no doubt but Mc Cain is on the reservation. A proper margin of land around the Indians would have prevented this whole affair and I therefore recommend that such a strip be now added to this reservation. As far as Mc Cain is concerned, it is something like locking the stable a little late, but it is not too late to save troubles of the kind with other men. I would therefore recommend the addition of the ~~W.~~ 1/2 of Secs. 24 and 25 and all of Secs. 22, 23, 27, 34 and 35, T. 16 S. R. 6 E. I think it would be wise to pay Mc Cain a fair price for his improvements and would have tried to buy him out when there recently, but he was absent in the Imperial Country for an indefinite period. I hope to take up the matter with him on my next visit to Campo. The matter of additions to the five Campo reservations will be mentioned and further explained in connection with the proposed purchases of land for the Campo Indians, in a report upon the same, which I expect to send in within a few days.

I would therefore summarize my recommendations as follows.

There should be added to

Twenty nine Palms, - S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33, T. 1 N. R. 9 E., S. B. M.

Inyaha, - N. 1/2 of the N. W. 1/4 and S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 Sec. 33; the W. 1/2 Sec. 26 and the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 26 and Sec. 35;

(14. Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

if the same has not been already added, the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 and the N.W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 26, all in T. 13 S. R. 5 E. S. B. M.

Santa Rosa.- E. 1/2 Sec. 32, all of Sec. 33 and the W. 1/2 of Sec. 34, T. 7 S. R. 5 E. S. B. M.

Capitan Grande,- Secs. 21, 23, 25, 26, the E. 1/2 of Sec. 27 and the N. 1/2 of Sec. 34, T. 14 S. R. 2 E. S. B. M; the N. 1/2 Sec 10 and the S. 1/2 of Secs. 1 and 2, T. 15 S. R. 2 E; the W. 1/2 Sec. 28, the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 and the E. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 28, the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33, the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4, the N. E. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 the S. E. 1/4 of the N. E. 1/4 and the N. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec 33, all of T. 14 S. R. 3 E.; the whole of Secs. 4, 7 and 8 and the S. W. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 of Sec. 9, all T. 15 S. R. 3 E. S. B. M.

Agua Caliente or Palm Springs.- Secs. 6, 7 if the same be exchanged with the S. P. R. R., Sec. 10, T. 4. S. R. 4. E. and Secs. 2, 10 and 11, T. 5. S. R. 4. E. S. B. M.

Martinez.- Secs. 16 and 36, T. 7. S. R. 8 E. S. B. M. if the same have not been added already.

Chimehuevi Valley,- Fractional townships 4. N. R. 25 E., T. 4 N. R. 26 E., T. 5 N. 25 E., 6. N. 25 E, the E. 1/2 of T. 5 N. R. 24 E., and Secs. 25, 26, 35 and 36 T. 6 N. R. 24 E. S. B. M.

Saboba or San Jacinto.- Fractional Sec. 5, T. 5 S. R. 1 E. and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the N. E. 1/4 of Sec. 29 and all of Sec. 31, T. 4 S. R. 1 E., S. B. M.

(15. Ad, Commr. Res. Add.)

Campo.- The N. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 3; the N. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4, the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 4, T. 18 S. R. 5 E., and the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 of Sec. 33 and the S. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 34, T. 17 S. R. 5 E. S. B. M.

Laguna.- The S. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 28 and the N. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33, T. 14 S. R. 5 E. S. B. M.

Cuyapipe.- The S. 1/2 of Secs. 17 and 18, all of Sec. 19, excepting the E. 1/4 already in the reservation, the E. 1/4 of Sec. 20, the W. 1/2 of the N. E. 1/4 Sec. 20, all of Secs. 21, 28, and 30; the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 29, the S. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 29, the N. 1/2 Sec. 32, the N. 1/2 Sec. 33, the S. E. 1/4, the E. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4 and the S. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 33, T. 15 S. R. 6 E. S. B. M.

La Posta.- The S. W. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4, the N. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4, the S. E. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4, the N. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4, and the N. E. 1/4, all of Sec. 31 and all of Secs. 32 and 33, T. 16 S. R. 6 E., and all of Secs. 4 and 5 and the S. 3/4 and the N. W. 1/4 of the N. W. 1/4 of Sec. 6, T. 17 S. R. 6 E. S. B. M.

Manzanita.- Sec. 22, Sec. 23 (should Mc Cain convey the S. W. 1/4 to the U. S.), the W. 1/2 Sec. 24, the W. 1/2 Sec. 25, and all of Secs. 27, 34, and 35, T. 16 S. R. 6 E. S. B. M.

X The Becker extension, as recommended in letter of Jan. 4, 1907, subject to the approval of the Hon. Commissioner of Indian Affairs,- Secs. 1, 2, 3, 10, the N. 1/2 of Sec. 11, the W. 1/2 of the S. W. 1/4, the N. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4, and the S. E. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 11, all of Sec. 12 and the N. 1/2 Sec. 13, the N. 1/2 Sec. 14, excepting the N. W.

(16. Ind. Commr. Res. Add.)

(NW<sup>4</sup>, NE<sup>4</sup>, N<sup>2</sup>SW<sup>4</sup>, N<sup>2</sup>SE<sup>4</sup>)

1/4 of the N. E. 1/4, Secs. 15, 21, the N. 3/4 and the S. E. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 22, the E. 1/2 Sec. 20, Sec. 27 (excepting the N. W. 1/4 of the N. E. 1/4; the E. 1/4 and the W. 1/2 of Sec. 28; the E. 1/2 Sec. 29; the N. E. 1/4 and the W. 1/2 of the S. E. 1/4 Sec. 32; the N. W. 1/4 and the W. 1/2 of the E. 1/2 of Sec. 33; the N. 1/2 and the N. W. 1/4 of the S. E. 1/4 and the N. E. 1/4 of the S. W. 1/4 Sec. 34; all in T. 17 S. R. 6 E., the E. 1/2 of Secs. 5, 8 and 17 and all of Secs. 3, 4, 9, 10, 15 and 16, (school section) the E. 1/2 of fractional Sec. 20 and fractional Sec. 21, all in T. 18 S. R. 6 E.; S. B. M.

I would suggest that the above named descriptions of land be reserved from entry, such as are not already suspended, pending such action as Congress may see fit to take.

I would also suggest that authority be conferred upon the Secretary of such official as Congress may see fit to appoint, to cancel any homestead entry covering land needed for addition to any of the proposed reservations, upon payment of a reasonable sum for any improvement the homesteader may have upon the land. The Smiley Commission was given such authority, or its equivalent and it was of great advantage in setting aside land for the Indians in Southern California.

I enclose herewith eight maps showing the proposed additions to the reservations mentioned in this letter, and beg likewise also to refer to a map of the country east of Campo which accompanies my report upon the proposed purchase of land for the Campo Indians.

Very respectfully,

C. E. Kelley

Special Agent for the California Indians.

(Enclosure)